

REMEDIAL SITE ASSESSMENT DECISION - EPA REGION 3

ORIGINAL
(Red)

Name: James T Warring & Sons Drum

EPA ID#: DC

DSN: DC-001

s Site Names: _____

/: Washington

County: Washington

State: DC

fer to Report Dated: _____

Report type: _____

port developed by: _____

DECISION:

**| 1. Further Remedial Site Assessment under CERCLA (Superfund) is not required because:

** 1a. Site does not qualify for further remedial site assessment under CERCLA (No Further Remedial Action Planned – NFRAP)	1b. Site may qualify for further action, but is deferred to:	RCRA NRC
---	--	-----------------

| | 2. Further Assessment Needed Under CERCLA: 2a. (optional) Priority: | | Higher | | Lower

2b. Activity Type:	PA	ESI	Other: _____
	SI	HRS evaluation	

DISCUSSION/RATIONALE:

James T Warring & Sons (Warring Drums)'s .5 acre 55-gallon drum reprocessing & distribution center and onsite pond.

EPA & DC found irresponsible storage areas and prepared better protocol for onsite drum storage.

EPA sampled soil contamination in a nearby alley offsite of Warring Drum and onsite pond.

Warring Drums' wastestream includes oily waste, pesticides, dyes, PCBs, formerly contained in irresponsibly stacked drums. EPA found no manifest.

The physical hazards outweighed chemical hazards by the (some barrel stacked 30 feet high w/o mechanical stabilizers) Walter Lee (x-9405) nonsampling PA & SI.

The area's water supply comes from Potomac R (near Little Falls) & Patuxent R (Laurel). Both water supply sources are upgradient of the site.

James T Warring & Sons' SI Decision:

Based on the DC Environmental Health Administration's oversight & maintenance and the upstream water supply sources, Superfund decided on the No-Further-Remedial-Action-Planned qualifier.

Report Reviewed/Approved

James J.

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Hargett Jr

and Site Decision Made By: Site Assessment Manager

Site Assessment Manager